IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.51331 of 2008
SANJAY SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 17.12.2008 The bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected by this Court on 30.7.07, 3.3.2008 and 2.9.2008.

The learned counsel submits that the prosecution had filed an application for examination of the handwriting of the fardbeyan and the case diary and despite the objection raised by the petitioner on the ground of delaying tactics adopted by the prosecution, the application was allowed on 28.5.2008 and the same was sent to the Director, Handwriting and Finger Print Expert, Patna. Thereafter the informant has again filed a petition for recalling P.W.9, who is the I.O. of the case. The trial court vide order dated 8.8.2008 rejected the application and the case was fixed for evidence on 18.8.08. The learned counsel submits that against the aforesaid order, the informant preferred quashing application in this Court being Cr.Misc. No.39237/08. He further submits that there is no order staying further proceeding in the court below.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State this Court directs the learned trial court to conclude the trial preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, as the case is fixed for argument, and there being no stay order of this Court.

With the aforesaid direction this writ application is

disposed of.

Anilksinha **(Samarendra Pratap Singh,J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL